Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/816,567	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) Barry Swanson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 35, 37, 51, 53, 68-77		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		,
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the provide and the separate is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
Atrial		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Apr	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested to Mr. Swanson to change the particle size range of claims 35 and 51 to read "0.1 to 5" and adding the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 37, 53 and 68-77. Specification was suggested to be amended to include the same range also. Mr. Swanson agreed to all the suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's amendment (attached).